School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2017–18 School Year

California Department of Education

Odyssey Learning Center

Address: 7150 Santa Juanita Ave. Orangevale, CA 95662 Phone: 916-988-0258

Principal: Lovina Robinson **Grade Span**: K-12+ (5-22)

This executive summary of the school accountability report card (SARC) is intended to provide parents and community members with a quick snapshot of information to individual public schools. Most data presented in this report are reported for the 2017-2018 school year. School finances and school completion data are reported for the 2017-2018 school year. Contact information, facilities, curriculum, and instructional materials, and select teacher data are reported for the 2017-2018 school year. For additional information about the school; parents, and community members should review the entire SARC or contact the school principal.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- > For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Odyssey Learning Center, an accredited non-profit school, serves children with autism spectrum disorder. Our mission: "We are committed to enhancing the lives of children with autism, using a collaborative approach to educate and nurture then in their journey towards independence with integrity and dignity." Odyssey utilizes a multi-dimensional teaching approach for independent living, communication, academic, and vocational skills training with a supportive, structured learning environment. All classrooms are staffed with a credentialed special education teacher, trained to work with students who have autism, and one trained educational aide to support every 2 students. With the 1:2 staff to student ratio, each of the 10 classrooms can have five or more staff working with the special education teacher.

District Contact Information (School Year 2018–19)

Entity	Contact Information
District Name	San Juan Unified School District
Phone Number	916-971-7700
Superintendent	Kent Kern
Email Address	kkern@sanjuan.edu
Website	www.sanjuan.edu

School Contact Information (School Year 2018–19)

Entity	Contact Information
School Name	Odyssey Learning Center
Street	7150 Santa Juanita Ave
City, State, Zip	Orangevale, CA, 95722
Phone Number	916-988-0258
Principal	Lovina Robinson
Email Address	lovinarobinson@odysseylearningcenter.org
Website	www.odysseylearnincenter.org
County-District-School (CDS) Code	34-67447-6914246

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2018–19)

Odyssey Learning Center offers programs for children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) or autistic like symptoms. The main office and school site are located on five acres in rural Orangevale, approximately twenty miles northeast of Sacramento, CA. We utilize a multi-dimensional teaching approach for academic, communication, independent living and vocational skill training within a supportive, structured learning environment. "Odyssey Learning Center will embrace the uniqueness of autism while providing meaningful opportunities to learn, communicate, create, and socialize across culturally driven environments."

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2017–18)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	
Grade 1	
Grade 2	
Grade 3	
Grade 4	
Grade 5	
Grade 6	
Grade 7	
Grade 8	
Ungraded Elementary	26
Grade 9	
Grade 10	
Grade 11	
Grade 12	
Ungraded Secondary	49
Total Enrollment	75

Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2017–2018)

Student Group	Percent of
	Total Enrollment
Black or African American	13.33%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.33%
Asian	5.33%
Filipino	3%
Hispanic or Latino	27%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0%
White	40 %
Two or More Races	93%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	53%
English Learners	20%
Students with Disabilities	100%
Foster Youth	3%

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School 2016–17	School 2017–18	School 2018–19	District 2018–19
With Full Credential	100%	100%	80%	NA
Without Full Credential	0%	0%	20%	NA
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0%	0%	0%	NA

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2016–17	2017–18	2018–19
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0%	0%	0%
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0%	0%	0%
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	1

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018–19)

Year and month in which the data were collected: January 2019

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Unique Learning	Unique Learning	Unique Learning
	System	System	System
Mathematics	Unique Learning	Unique Learning	Unique Learning
	System	System	System
Science	Unique Learning	Unique Learning	Unique Learning
	System	System	System
History-Social Science	Unique Learning	Unique Learning	Unique Learning
	System	System	System
Foreign Language	NA	NA	NA
Health	Unique Learning	Unique Learning	Unique Learning
	System	System	System
Visual and Performing Arts	NA	NA	NA
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Odyssey Learning Center school facilities are in good to exemplary conditions.

There are no known major or structural defects to the grounds or buildings.

The classroom buildings are all in exemplary condition on both the interior and exterior.

The front Administration building and the Transportation/Maintenance buildings both have superficial weathering to the siding and trim, of which both are in the current repair process.

All campus structures received annual roof maintenance during the summer of 2017 to ensure roof longevity and protect against possible water damage.

^{*}Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Odyssey is currently in the discussion/planning stages of structural and design remodels to the Administration building and the Transportation/Maintenance building. Both of these projects would utilize the current foundation footprint and consist of the redesign and partial rebuild of the structures. Odyssey's swimming pool was just re-plastered and was brought into all state commercial standards.

Odyssey Learning Center has also remodeled a big room and has provided OT equipment in the room. In addition, there are two small offices for the OT department

School Facility Good Repair Status

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 1/2019

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Fair	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	х			
Electrical: Electrical	Х			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	х			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	х			

Overall Facility Rate

Year and month or	the most recent FIT re	port: 1/2019

Overall Rating

Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	X		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 2016–17	School 2017–18	District 2016–17	District 2017–18	State 2016–17	State 2017–18
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017–18)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	75	45	60%	NA
Male	64	38	60%	NA
Female	11	7	63%	NA
Black or African American	10	4		N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	0%	N/A
Asian	4	3		N/A
Filipino	2	2	100%	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	20	15	75%	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0%	N/A
White	30	16	53.3%	N/A
Two or More Races	50	20	40%	N/A
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	40	15	37.5%	N/A
English Learners	15	5	33.33%	N/A
Students with Disabilities	75	45	60%	N/A
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	N/A
Foster Youth	2	1	50%	N/A

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2017–18)

Grades inited annuagn In	Stades Tillee tillough Light and Stade Lieven (School Teal 2017-10)							
Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded				
All Students	75	45	60%	NA				
Male	64	38	60%	NA				
Female	11	7	63%	NA				
Black or African American	10	4	40%	N/A				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	0%	N/A				
Asian	4	3		N/A				
Filipino	2	2	100%	N/A				
Hispanic or Latino	20	15	75%	N/A				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0%	N/A				
White	30	16	53.3%	N/A				
Two or More Races	50	20	40%	N/A				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	40	15	37.5%	N/A				
English Learners	15	5	33.33%	N/A				
Students with Disabilities	75	45	60%	N/A				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	N/A				
Foster Youth	2	1	50%	N/A				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students Grades Five, Eight, and High School

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 2016–17	School 2017–18	District 2016–17	District 2017–18	State 2016–17	State 2017–18
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

Career Technical Education (CTE) Programs (School Year 2017–18)

- Students at Odyssey participate in outings with staff in the community. Depending on their individual
 goals, students practice independent living skills such as grocery shopping, purchasing snacks, and
 practice paying using the "dollar" up system
- Adult students at Odyssey work on vocational goals. These goals include wiping tables, vacuuming, running errands with staff, filing, typing, food preparation and other vocational task such as practicing hanging clothes on a clothes rack
- These vocational tasks and community outings are embedded in the program and most all students can have an opportunity to do tasks outside of the school community.
- Our student's transition to the adult day programs with skills and many can find jobs for Goodwill, PRIDE and the like. We believe it is important to set up our students to succeed as adults with the least amount of assistance as possible.
- When the student reaches 14 years of age, the IEP team develops a transition plan with specific goals linked to career, education, and training based on individual student interest and abilities. This plan outlines all goals that will assist students in career and vocational interests and are tailored to fit the individual student. They are developed to help the student reach his or her maximum potential.
- Additionally, students ages 17-22 participate in a workability program wherein they gain experience in the public library, pizza restaurants, and professional offices. Student progress is measured daily by charting and observation. Other measures of achievement are quarterly updates and the IEP.
- The age of 22 marks the end of the student's stay at Odyssey. Prior to this age, every effort is made to collaborate with the student's district representatives and the program receiving the student after graduation (usually ALTA). Odyssey works with ALTA to ensure a smooth transition into adulthood

Career Technical Education (CTE) Participation (School Year 2017–18)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of Pupils Participating in CTE	0%
Percent of Pupils Completing a CTE Program and Earning a High School Diploma	0%
Percent of CTE Courses Sequenced or Articulated Between the School and Institutions of Postsecondary Education	0%

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2017–18 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0%
2016–17 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0%

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2017–18)

Grade Level	Percentage of Students Meeting Four of Six Fitness Standards	Percentage of Students Meeting Five of Six Fitness Standards	Percentage of Students Meeting Six of Six Fitness Standards
5	0	0	0
7	0	0	0
9	0	0	0

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

 Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018–19)

The school has included parents and the parents have created a Parent Advisory Committee (PAC). The committee helps with fund raising events for the school, including GALA's and the Secret Santa. We encourage parent involvement and appreciate the support of our families.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- · High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School 2014–15	School 2015–16	District 2014–15	District 2015–16	State 2014–15	State 2015–16
Dropout Rate	NA	NA	NA	NA	11%	12%
Graduation Rate	NA	NA	NA	NA	82%	81%

<u>Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)</u>

Indicator	School 2016–17	District 2016–17	State 2016–17
Dropout Rate	NA	NA	
Graduation Rate	NA	NA	82.7%

For the formula to calculate the 2016–17 adjusted cohort graduation rate, see the 2017–18 Data Element Definitions document located on the SARC web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements – Graduating Class of 2017

(One-Year Rate)

Student Group	School	District	State
All Students	NA	NA	NA
Black or African American	NA	NA	NA
American Indian or Alaska Native	NA	NA	NA
Asian	NA	NA	NA
Filipino	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic or Latino	NA	NA	NA
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	NA	NA	NA
White	NA	NA	NA
Two or More Races	NA	NA	NA
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA
English Learners	NA	NA	NA
Students with Disabilities	NA	NA	NA
Foster Youth	NA	NA	NA

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School 2015– 16	School 2016– 17	School 2017– 18	District 2015– 16	District 2016– 17		State 2015– 16		
Suspensions	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Expulsions	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

School Safety Plan (School Year 2018–19)

We have a school safety plan in place and the plan was last reviewed January 2019. The plan that was discussed was lock down procedures, evacuation procedures, phone threat procedures, communication flow chart, natural disaster procedures, fire procedures and our current program. We also discussed the current plan we are creating called CAP. Crisis Aversion Plan. We will be rolling that out here at Odyssey this year. In addition we are installing a gate at the front of the school that would require all visitors to check in at the gate to gain access to the campus.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary) (School Year 2015–16)

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes* 1-20	Number of Classes* 21-32	Number of Classes* 33+
K	1	1		
1	1	1		
2	2	1		
3		1		
4	2	1		
5	2	1		
6	2	1		
Other**				

^{*}Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary) (School Year 2016–17)

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes* 1-20	Number of Classes* 21-32	Number of Classes* 33+
K	1	1		
1	1	1		
2	2	1		
3	1	1		
4	1	1		
5	0	0		
6	2	1		
Other**				

^{*}Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

^{** &}quot;Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

^{**&}quot;Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary) (School Year 2017–18)

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes* 1-20	Number of Classes* 21-32	Number of Classes* 33+
K	1	1		
1	1	1		
2	1	1		
3	2	1		
4	2	1		
5	2	1		
6	2	1		
Other**				

^{*}Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary) (School Year 2015–16)

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes* 1-22	Number of Classes* 23-32	Number of Classes* 33+
English	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

^{*}Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary) (School Year 2016–17)

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes* 1-22	Number of Classes* 23-32	Number of Classes*
English	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

^{*}Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

^{**&}quot;Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary) (School Year 2017–18)

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes* 1-22	Number of Classes* 23-32	Number of Classes*
English	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

^{*}Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2017–18)

Academie Councille and Caller Cappert Ctail (Concert Total 2017 10)				
Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor		
Academic Counselor	0	N/A		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A		
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A		
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0	N/A		
Psychologist	0	N/A		
Social Worker	0	N/A		
Nurse	0	N/A		
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	6	N/A		
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0	N/A		
Other	0	N/A		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

^{*}One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016–17)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	N/A	N/A	N/A	50,000
District	N/A	N/A	N/A	50,000
Percent Difference –	N/A	N/A	N/A	50,000
School Site and District				
State	N/A	N/A	N/A	77,000
Percent Difference –	N/A	N/A	N/A	65%
School Site and State				

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2017–18)

Types of services embedded in the program are OT, and Speech as well as community based instruction. Additionally, some students have APE and PT or Music as services on the IEP. We follow the IEP and the districts we contract with provide additional services that are on the IEP.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2016–17)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	47,000	48,000
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	60,000	61,000
Highest Teacher Salary	90,000	95,000
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	100,000	100,000
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	120,000	120,000
Average Principal Salary (High)	120,000	120,000
Superintendent Salary	190,000	200,000
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	NA	NA
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	NA	NA

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2017–18)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	N/A	N/A
English	N/A	N/A
Fine and Performing Arts	N/A	N/A
Foreign Language	N/A	N/A
Mathematics	N/A	N/A
Science	N/A	N/A
Social Science	N/A	N/A
All Courses	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Professional Development

What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? Staff development was based on learning the program ULS (Unique Learning System) (News2You). Staff would benefit most from learning the ULS system. Crisis Aversion Plan and First Aid are also areas of staff development that we focus on due to student population. Behavior training is also another area of focus.

What are the methods by which professional development is delivered? The trainings are conducted after school, on the weekends and in person as well as trainings at PCOE and SCOE

How are teachers supported during implementation? Teachers are supported through in class coaching, staff meetings, and teacher principal meetings

In addition, teachers and all staff are given training in the following areas during our In-Service days *Student Behavior

^{*}Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

^{*}Non-contingent reinforcement

^{*}BTSA

^{*}Writing IEP goals

^{*}OT-Brush and Joint Compression

^{*}Charting pages